FACULTY WORKLOAD SURVEY III (FWS3) UPDATE

Sandra Schneider, Principal Investigator, Faculty Workload Survey 2 and 3

Thursday, Sep 7, 2017 Meeting Announcements



FWS3 Goals and Objectives

Collection of survey data from Faculty Pls on federally-funded grants.

Goals:

- To increase the likelihood of efficient and effective demonstration projects
- To provide information to FDP, federal agencies, universities and other FDP members to facilitate targeted, evidence-based reduction of administrative burden

Objectives:

- Assess changes in experienced burdens since FWS2
- Obtain detailed information about specific burdens (e.g., Proposal Preparation, Project Finances, IRB, IACUC)
- Focus on perceived high priorities for change
- Obtain data for evaluation by institution and/or agency

Impact of findings from FWS2

Results cited in

- 2014 National Science Board Report: Reducing Investigators' Administrative Workload For Federally Funded Research
- <u>H.R. 5056 Research and Development Efficiency Act</u> introduced July 2014 introduced by Rep. Larry Bucshon (R-IN)
- <u>H.R. 6 21st Century Cures Act</u> introduced May 2015 by Rep. Fred Upton (R-MI); includes SEC. 1023. Reducing Administrative Burdens of Researchers → Signed into law in December, 2016.
- •2015 FASEB Report: Sustaining Discovery in Biological and Medical Sciences: A Framework for Discussion
- •2016 National Academies Report: Optimizing the Nation's Investment in Academic Research: A New Regulatory Framework for the 21st Century
- •2016 GAO Report: Federal Research Grants Opportunities Remain for Agencies to Streamline Administrative Requirements







FWS3 Research Team

- Principal Investigator: Sandra Schneider, USF, FWS3
 Working Group Lead
- Project Manager: David Wright, FDP, Executive Director
- Data Collection support from SoundRocket, LLC
 - Scott Crawford, Executive Director
 - Julie Smith, Research Consultant
- FWS Working Group Regular Contributors
 - Richard Beaulaurier, Neil Charness, Richard Costanzo, Jonathan Kaye, Cheryl Kitt, Melissa Korf, Jane McCutcheon, Robert Nobles, Mary Ann Ottinger, Alistair Saunders, Kelly Shaver, Bettie Steinberg, Larry Sutter, Alice Young

Current Timeline

Questionnaire Development Key Dates

- Mid-September → Questionnaire Finalized
- End of September → Web-based survey programming
- Throughout October → Task Force Pilot Testing/Review
- Mid-November → Web-based survey finalized

School Commitments with PI List Submitted

- End of September → Institution guide to be distributed
- End of October → Priority deadline for commitment
- Mid-November -> Final deadline for commitment
- Early January → Request for admin reps to send email to institution's potential participants in support of survey

Data Collection Timeline

Late January, 2018 Email Invitations To Be Sent

1-2 weeks later PRN Email Reminder #1

1 week later PRN Email Reminder #2

1 week later PRN Final Email Reminder

Data Collection will close approx. March 1, 2018.

FWS3 Institution Participation Guide

- A guide will be distributed by the end of September to FDP Administrative Representatives to provide a clear set of instructions on how an FDP member institution participates, including:
 - Rationale for and benefits of participation
 - Internal requirements (IRB or other approvals)
 - Guidance on securing faculty PI list
 - Instructions on how to submit list to SoundRocket
 - Information on communications to potential participants

Faculty List Acquisition

- Providing your faculty contact list will secure your institution's participation
- Encouraging faculty participation through internal communications will help ensure a healthy response rate.
- Who should be in the list?
 - All University Faculty Personnel serving as Principal Investigators (PIs) on Federally-Funded Research Projects (Grants and Contracts)
 - Active (including no cost extensions) grants and contracts during 2016-2017 Academic Year (12 mo.)
 - No pass-through awards to state or other entities

Faculty List Acquisition

- Required Items (Necessary to administer the study)
 - PI First and Last Name
 - Email
- Highly Recommended Items (For improved analysis and to assist in evaluating nonresponse bias)
 - Rank / Title
 - Department / Program
 - Gender / Ethnicity
- Optional Items
 - Misc. other items to enhance contact information

FWS3 IRB Process

- The IRB at the University of South Florida will review and be responsible for approving the study protocol
- The USF IRB does not require any involvement from any other IRB
 - Most schools won't need local IRB given their limited involvement in the study
 - Schools that choose to complete IRB review will be provided with supportive documents
 - FDP Admin reps need to be ready to serve as primary liaison to obtain university permission to participate
 - FDP Faculty reps need to be ready to help if called upon to assist in obtaining university permission