

FEDERAL DEMONSTRATION PARTNERSHIP

Redefining the Government & University Research Partnership

FDP Procurement Working Group

WELCOME

Debbie Rafi, Office of Naval Research Abe Sabbag, Office of Naval Research Edwin Bemmel, Geisinger Health System Doug Backman, University of Central FL. General overview and update since our last meeting

- Procurement Working Group Survey I
 - ✓ Purpose and Objective
 - ✓ Data Metrics Summary
 - ✓ Survey Conclusions

FDP Procurement Working Group Brainstorming Session:

- ✓ Purpose of the meeting
 - ✓ Identify problem language in the procurement section
 - ✓ Identify possible areas to demonstrate based on problem language
- ✓ Participating institutions (Admin & Procurement Representatives)

FDP Procurement WG Brainstorming Session Cont:

Possible problem language:

- ➤ Administrative burden price or rate quotations for > \$3,000 threshold
- ➤ Conflict of interest (§ 200.317(c)(1))
- ➤ Publically posting Invitation for bids (§ 200.320(c)(2)(i)
- ➤ Negotiating profit (§ 200.323(b)

FDP Procurement WG Brainstorming Session Cont:

Possible areas to demonstrate:

- √ Focus on the micro purchase threshold
 - ✓ First understand current procurement detail
 - ✓ Possible 80/20 rule? (80% of total transactions are for items costing less than \$5k, which consume less than 20% of total procurement dollars)
 - ✓ Does this hold and if yes, should this be our focus?

FDP Procurement WG Brainstorming Session Cont:

Next steps:

- √ FDP exploratory Procurement Survey II design
- √ Solicit suggestions for survey design
- ✓ Analyzing the data of exploratory survey
- ✓ Identify need to modify survey or expand # of participants
- ✓ Potential Demonstration



FDP Procurement Working Group

QUESTIONS/COMMENTS??????

Debbie Rafi, Office of Naval Research
Abe Sabbag, Office of Naval Research
Edwin Bemmel, Geisinger Health System
Doug Backman, University of Central FL.